

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,739	09/28/2001	Woong Kwon Kim	043694-5015-03	2171	_
9629	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER		٦,
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			HON, SOW FUN		_ i
	ISYLVANIA AVENUE N TON, DC 20004	ıw	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b></b> ,		1772		_
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/964,739	KIM, WOONG KWO	, WOONG KWON	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sow-Fun Hon	1772		
The MAILING DATE of the	is communica	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess	
THE REPLY FILED 16 Septembe Therefore, further action by the application under 37 CFR 1.113 condition for allowance; (2) a time examination (RCE) in compliance	plicant is requi may <u>only</u> be e ly filed Notice o	red to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendme of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applicat	to a ion in	
	PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
		nailing date of the final rejection.			
no event, however, will the state ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHIT 706.07(f).	utory period for re EN THE FIRST RE	ate of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date of the place of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date of the Advisory Action or (2) the date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date of the Advisory Action of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date of the Advisory Action of the Advisor	the mailing date of the final rejection Sof THE FINAL REJECTION.	n. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained to be have been filed is the date for purpose the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated frow the control of t	s of determining to m: (1) the expiration ny reply received to	on date of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than three months after	iding amount of the fee. The appro for reply originally set in the final C	priate extension Office action; or	
. A Notice of Appeal was file 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any ex		ppellant's Brief must be filed with f (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disr	•		
. The proposed amendment(	s) will not be e	ntered because:			
(a) they raise new issues t	hat would requ	ire further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of	new matter (se	e Note below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to issues for appeal; and/		lication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sim	nplifying the	
(d) they present additiona  NOTE:	l claims withou	t canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims	i.	
B. ☐ Applicant's reply has overce	me the followi	ng rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amende canceling the non-allowable		_ would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed a	amendment	
		quest for reconsideration has been ause: <u>See attachment to advisory a</u>		place the	
The affidavit or exhibit will N raised by the Examiner in t		ered because it is not directed Son.	DLELY to issues which were	newly	
		endment(s) a)⊡ will not be ente claims would be rejected is provid		nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is	(or will be) as	follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from co					
. The proposed drawing corre	ection filed on _	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examin	ner.	
. Note the attached Informati	on Disclosure \$	Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		

## Attachment to Advisory Action

1. The request for reconsideration has been fully considered and does not place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons set forth below.

2. Applicant argues that the specification supports the claim recitation of an organic layer being able to impart a compressive stress to the underlying glass substrate after curing via ultraviolet light irradiation.

Applicant is respectfully informed that original claims 7 and 15 further define the organic layer of claims 5-6, 13-14 as having a viscosity coefficient of several cp to several ten cp. The viscosity values are very low and represent those of liquid organic molecules before crosslinking or curing. The request for reconsideration in Paper # 14 and declaration in Paper # 15 (both filed 09/16/03) further reinforce the examiner's position that the organic layer having a viscosity coefficient of several cp to several ten cp cannot impart a compressive stress to the underlying glass substrate. The declaration states that this is possible only after curing via ultraviolet light irradiation. The ultraviolet light crosslinks the organic molecules since the curing of a thermosetting resin effects crosslinking. Applicant's declaration states that the shrinkage of the organic layer imparts a compressive stress to the underlying glass substrate after curing via ultraviolet light irradiation. The crosslinking of the organic molecules causes the viscosity coefficient to increase dramatically above and beyond several cp to several ten cp.

3. Applicant argues that the protective layer of the present application has a tensile force that imparts a compressive stress to the glass surface.

Applicant is respectfully reminded that the disclosure of the present application states that "the protective layer may be an inorganic matter having a compressive stress" (column 4, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/964,739

Art Unit: 1772

20-25). Thus the inorganic protective layer of Applicant has a compressive stress which reads on

Kitayama et al.'s statement that the "chemically reinforced glass substrate has a compressive

stress in the surface thereof" ('057, column 6, lines 60-62). Applicant is respectfully requested

to review the disclosure for support of the recitation of the protective layer having tensile force

that imparts a compressive stress to the glass surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose

telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday

from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1772

Page 3